

1 **MICHELLE BETANCOURT**

California Bar No. 215035

2 **FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF SAN DIEGO, INC.**

225 Broadway, Suite 900

3 San Diego, California 92101-5008

Telephone: (619) 234-8467

4  
5 Attorneys for Mr. Lopez-Gonzales

6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 **(HONORABLE ROGER T. BENITEZ)**

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 LAZARO LOPEZ-GONZALES,

15 Defendant.

) CASE NO. 07CR3393-BEN  
)  
)  
)

) STATEMENT OF FACTS AND  
) MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND  
) AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTIONS  
)  
)  
)

16  
17 **I.**

18 **STATEMENT OF FACTS**

19 On June 20, 2007, the grand jury for the Southern District of California returned a one count  
20 indictment charging Mr. Lopez-Gonzales with a violation of Title 18 U.S.C. 922(g)(5)(A), illegal alien in  
21 possession of ammunition.

22 These motions follow.

23 //

24 //

25 //

26 //

27 //

28 //

## II.

**THIS COURT SHOULD COMPEL DISCOVERY AND ORDER  
THE PRESERVATION OF THE EVIDENCE**

Mr. Lopez-Gonzales moves for the production by the government of the following discovery and for the preservation of evidence. This request is not limited to those items about which the prosecutor knows, but includes all discovery listed below that is in the custody, control, care, or knowledge of any government agency. See generally Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 419 (1995); United States v. Bryan, 868 F.2d 1032 (9th Cir. 1989). To date, the defendant has received 54 pages of discovery.

1. The Defendant's Statements. The Government must disclose to the defendant all copies of any written or recorded statements made by the defendant; the substance of any statements (even if not written or recorded) made by the defendant which the Government intends to offer in evidence at trial; any response by the defendant to interrogation; the substance of any oral statements which the Government intends to introduce at trial and any written summaries of the defendant's oral statements contained in the handwritten notes of the Government agent; any response to any Miranda warnings which may have been given to the defendant; and any other statements by the defendant. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(A). The Advisory Committee Notes and the 1991 amendments to Rule 16 make clear that the Government must reveal all the defendant's statements, whether oral or written, regardless of whether the government intends to make any use of those statements.

2. Arrest Reports, Notes and Dispatch Tapes. The defense also specifically requests that all arrest reports, notes and dispatch or any other tapes that relate to the circumstances surrounding his arrest or any questioning, if such reports have not already been produced in their entirety, be turned over to his. This request includes, but is not limited to, any rough notes, records, reports, transcripts or other documents in which statements of the defendant or any other discoverable material is contained. This is all discoverable under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(A) and Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). See also Loux v. United States, 389 F.2d 911 (9th Cir. 1968). Arrest reports, investigator's notes, memos from arresting officers, dispatch tapes, sworn statements, and prosecution reports pertaining to the defendant are available

under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(B) and (C), FED. R. CRIM. P. 26.2 and 12(i). Preservation of rough notes is requested, whether or not the government deems them discoverable.

3. Brady Material. The defendant requests all documents, statements, agents' reports, and tangible evidence favorable to the defendant on the issue of guilt and/or which affects the credibility of the government's case. Impeachment and exculpatory evidence both fall within Brady's definition of evidence favorable to the accused. United States v. Bagley, 473 U.S. 667 (1985); United States v. Agurs, 427 U.S. 97 (1976).

4. Any Information That May Result in a Lower Sentence. As discussed above, any information which may result in a more favorable sentence must also be disclosed pursuant to Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83 (1963). The Government must disclose any cooperation or attempted cooperation by the defendant, as well as any information that could affect any base offense level or specific offense characteristic under Chapter Two of the Guidelines. Also included in this request is any information relevant to a Chapter Three adjustment, a determination of the defendant's criminal history, or any other application of the Guidelines.

5. The Defendant's Prior Record. Evidence of a prior record is available under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(B). Counsel specifically requests a complete copy of any criminal record.

6. Any Proposed 404(b) Evidence. Evidence of prior similar acts is discoverable under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(C) and FED. R. EVID. 404(b) and 609. In addition, under FED. R. EVID. 404(b), "upon request of the accused, the prosecution . . . shall provide reasonable notice in advance of trial . . . of the general nature . . . of any evidence the government proposes to introduce under FED. R. EVID. 404(b) at trial. Sufficient notice requires the government to "articulate precisely the evidential hypothesis by which a fact of consequence may be inferred from the other acts evidence." United States v. Mehrmanesh, 689 F.2d 822, 830 (9th Cir. 1982) (emphasis added; internal citations omitted); see also United States v. Brooke, 4 F.3d 1480, 1483 (9th Cir. 1993) (reaffirming Mehrmanesh and reversing convictions).

This includes any "TECS" records (records of prior border crossings) that the Government intends to introduce at trial, whether in its case-in-chief, impeachment, or rebuttal. Although there is nothing intrinsically improper about prior border crossings, they are nonetheless subject to 404(b), as they are "other

acts" evidence that the government must produce before trial. United States v. Vega, 188 F.3d 1150, 1154-55 (9th Cir. 1999).<sup>1</sup>

The defendant requests that such notice be given three weeks before trial to give the defense time to adequately investigate and prepare for trial.

7. Evidence Seized. Evidence seized as a result of any search, either warrantless or with a warrant, is discoverable under FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(C).

8. Request for Preservation of Evidence. The defense specifically requests that all dispatch tapes or any other physical evidence that may be destroyed, lost, or otherwise put out of the possession, custody, or care of the government and which relate to the arrest or the events leading to the arrest in this case be preserved. This request includes, but is not limited to, the results of any fingerprint analysis, the vehicle involved in the case (including all parts of the vehicle and items within the vehicle), the defendant's personal effects, and any evidence seized from the defendant, any material witness, or any other third party. This request also includes any material or percipient witnesses who might be deported or otherwise likely to become unavailable (e.g., undocumented aliens and transients).

It is requested that the prosecutor be ordered to question all the agencies and individuals involved in the prosecution and investigation of this case to determine if such evidence exists, and if it does exist, to inform those parties to preserve any such evidence.

9. Henthorn Material. The defendant requests that the Assistant United States Attorney ("AUSA") assigned to this case oversee (not personally conduct) a review of all personnel files of each agent involved in the present case for impeachment material. See Kyles v. Whitley, 514 U.S. 437, 438 (1995) (holding that "the individual prosecutor has a duty to learn of any favorable evidence known to the others acting on the government's behalf in the case, including the police"); United States v. Henthorn, 931 F.2d 29 (9th Cir. 1991). This request includes, but is not limited to, any complaints filed (by a member of the public, by another agent, or any other person) against the agent, whether or not the investigating authority

---

<sup>1</sup>

It bears repeating that under Ninth Circuit case law and the advisory notes to Rule 404(b) the government must turn over any "other acts" evidence it intends to use in its case-in-chief, for impeachment, or as possible rebuttal. See Vega, 188 F.3d 1154-55.

1 has taken any action, as well as any matter for which a disciplinary review was undertaken, whether or not  
2 any disciplinary action was ultimately recommended. The defendant further requests production of any such  
3 information at least one week prior to the motion hearing and two weeks prior to trial. If the prosecutor is  
4 uncertain whether certain information should be disclosed pursuant to this request, this information should  
5 be produced to the Court in advance of the motion hearing and the trial for an in camera inspection.

6 10. Tangible Objects. The defendant requests the opportunity to inspect, copy, and test, as  
7 necessary, all other documents and tangible objects, including photographs, books, papers, documents,  
8 fingerprint analyses, vehicles, or copies of portions thereof, which are material to the defense or intended  
9 for use in the government's case-in-chief or were obtained from or belong to the defendant. FED. R. CRIM.  
10 P. 16(a)(1)(C). Specifically, the defendant requests copies of all photographs in the government's possession  
11 of the alleged compartment.

12 11. Expert Witnesses. The defendant requests the name, qualifications, and a written  
13 summary of the testimony of any person that the government intends to call as an expert witness during its  
14 case in chief. FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(E). This summary should include a description of the witness'  
15 opinion(s), as well as the bases and the reasons for the opinion(s). See United States v. Duvall, 272 F.3d  
16 825 (7th Cir. 2001) (finding that government's written expert notice did not adequately summarize or  
17 describe police detective's testimony in drug prosecution where notice provided only a list of the general  
18 subject matters to be covered and failed to identify what opinion the expert would offer on those subjects).  
19 This request includes, but is not limited to, disclosure of the qualifications of any government witness who  
20 will testify that she understands and/or speaks Spanish or any other foreign language that may have been  
21 used during the course of an interview with the defendant or any other witness.

22 The defense requests the notice of expert testimony be provided at a minimum of two weeks  
23 prior to trial so that the defense can properly prepare to address and respond to this testimony, including  
24 obtaining its own expert and/or investigating the opinions, credentials of the government's expert and obtain  
25 a hearing in advance of trial to determine the admissibility of qualifications of any expert. See Kumho v.  
26 Carmichael Tire Co., 526 U.S. 137, 119 S. Ct. 1167, 1176 (1999) (trial judge is "gatekeeper" and must  
27  
28

determine, reliability and relevancy of expert testimony and such determinations may require “special briefing or other proceedings”)

12. Impeachment evidence. The defendant requests any evidence that any prospective government witness has engaged in any criminal act whether or not resulting in a conviction and whether any witness has made a statement favorable to the defendant. See FED. R. EVID. 608, 609 and 613. Such evidence is discoverable under Brady v. Maryland, *supra*. See United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988) (witness' prior record); Thomas v. United States, 343 F.2d 49 (9th Cir. 1965) (evidence that detracts from a witness' credibility).

13. Evidence of Criminal Investigation of Any Government Witness. The defense requests any evidence that any prospective witness is under investigation by federal, state or local authorities for any criminal conduct. United States v. Chitty, 760 F.2d 425 (2d Cir. 1985).

14. Evidence of Bias or Motive to Lie. The defense requests any evidence that any prospective government witness is biased or prejudiced against the defendant, or has a motive to falsify or distort his or her testimony. Pennsylvania v. Ritchie, 480 U.S. 39 (1987); United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988).

15. Evidence Affecting Perception, Recollection, Ability to Communicate, or Veracity. The defendant requests any evidence, including any medical or psychiatric report or evaluation, tending to show that any prospective witness's ability to perceive, remember, communicate, or tell the truth is impaired; and any evidence that a witness has ever used narcotics or other controlled substance, or has ever been an alcoholic. United States v. Strifler, 851 F.2d 1197 (9th Cir. 1988); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 224 (4th Cir. 1980).

16. Witness Addresses. The defense requests the name and last known address of each prospective government witness. See United States v. Napue, 834 F.2d 1311 (7th Cir. 1987); United States v. Tucker, 716 F.2d 576 (9th Cir. 1983) (failure to interview government witnesses by counsel is ineffective); United States v. Cook, 608 F.2d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 1979) (defense has equal right to talk to witnesses). The defendant also requests the name and last known address of every witness to the crime or

1 crimes charged (or any of the overt acts committed in furtherance thereof) who will not be called as a  
2 government witness. United States v. Cadet, 727 F.2d 1453 (9th Cir. 1984).

3 17. Name of Witnesses Favorable to the Defendant. The defendant requests the name of any  
4 witness who made any arguably favorable statement concerning the defendant or who could not identify his  
5 or who was unsure of his identity, or participation in the crime charged. Jackson v. Wainwright, 390 F.2d  
6 288 (5th Cir. 1968); Chavis v. North Carolina, 637 F.2d 213, 223 (4th Cir. 1980); Jones v. Jago, 575 F.2d  
7 1164, 1168 (6th Cir. 1978); Hudson v. Blackburn, 601 F.2d 785 (5th Cir. 1979), cert. denied, 444 U.S. 1086  
8 (1980).

9 18. Statements Relevant to the Defense. The defendant requests disclosure of any statement  
10 that may be "relevant to any possible defense or contention" that she might assert. United States v.  
11 Bailleaux, 685 F.2d 1105 (9th Cir. 1982). This includes Grand Jury transcripts which are relevant to the  
12 defense motion to dismiss the indictment.

13 19. Jencks Act Material. The defendant requests production in advance of the motion  
14 hearing or trial of all material, including dispatch tapes, which the government must produce pursuant to the  
15 Jencks Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3500 and FED. R. CRIM. P. 26.2. A verbal acknowledgment that "rough" notes  
16 constitute an accurate account of the witness' interview is sufficient for the report or notes to qualify as a  
17 statement under section 3500(e)(1). Campbell v. United States, 373 U.S. 487, 490-92 (1963); see also  
18 United States v. Boshell, 952 F.2d 1101 (9th Cir. 1991) (holding that interview notes constitutes Jencks  
19 material when an agent reviews notes with the subject of the interview); see also United States v. Riley, 189  
20 F.3d 802, 806-08 (9th Cir. 1999). Advance production will avoid the possibility of delay of the motion  
21 hearing or trial to allow the defendant to investigate the Jencks material. Defendant requests pre-trial  
22 disclosure of such statements to avoid unnecessary recesses and delays and to allow defense counsel to  
23 prepare for, and use properly any Jencks statements during cross-examination.

24 20. Giglio Information. Pursuant to Giglio v. United States, 405 U.S. 150 (1972), the  
25 defendant requests all statements and/or promises, expressed or implied, made to any government witnesses,  
26 in exchange for their testimony in this case, and all other information which could arguably be used for the  
27 impeachment of any government witnesses.

1           21. Agreements Between the Government and Witnesses. The defendant requests discovery  
2 regarding any express or implicit promise, understanding, offer of immunity, of past, present, or future  
3 compensation, or any other kind of agreement or understanding, including any implicit understanding  
4 relating to criminal or civil income tax, forfeiture or fine liability, between any prospective government  
5 witness and the government (federal, state and/or local). This request also includes any discussion with a  
6 potential witness about or advice concerning any immigration benefits, any contemplated prosecution, or  
7 any possible plea bargain, even if no bargain was made or the advice not followed.

8           22. Informants and Cooperating Witnesses. The defendant requests disclosure of the names  
9 and addresses of all informants or cooperating witnesses used or to be used in this case, and in particular,  
10 disclosure of any informant who was a percipient witness in this case or otherwise participated in the crime  
11 charged against the defendant. The government must disclose the informant's identity and location, as well  
12 as disclose the existence of any other percipient witness unknown or unknowable to the defense. Roviaro  
13 v. United States, 353 U.S. 52, 61-62 (1957). The government must disclose any information derived from  
14 informants which exculpates or tends to exculpate the defendant.

15           23. Bias by Informants or Cooperating Witnesses. The defendant requests disclosure of any  
16 information indicating bias on the part of any informant or cooperating witness. Giglio v. United States, 405  
17 U.S. 150 (1972). Such information would include what, if any, inducements, favors, payments or threats  
18 were made to the witness to secure cooperation with the authorities.

19           24. Personnel Records of Government Officers Involved in the Arrest. Defendant requests  
20 all citizen complaints and other related internal affairs documents involving any of the immigration officers  
21 or other law enforcement officers who were involved in the investigation, arrest and interrogation of  
22 Defendant. See Pitchess v. Superior Court, 11 Cal. 3d 531, 539 (1974). Because of the sensitive nature of  
23 these documents, defense counsel will be unable to procure them from any other source.

24           25. Training of Relevant Law Enforcement Officers. Defendant requests copies of all  
25 written, videotaped or otherwise recorded policies or training instructions or manuals issued by all law  
26 enforcement agencies involved in the case (United States Customs Service, Border Patrol, INS, Homeland  
27 Security, etc.) to their employees regarding: (a) the handling of vehicles suspected to be transporting  
28

1 contraband across the port of entry; (b) the referral to secondary inspection of persons within those vehicles;  
2 (c) the detention of individuals within those vehicles; (d) the search of those vehicles and the occupants of  
3 those vehicles, including the proper means of obtaining consent to search and what constitutes consent to  
4 search; (e) the informing of suspects of their Constitutional rights; (f) the questioning of suspects and  
5 witnesses. Defendant also requests all written or otherwise attainable information regarding the training of  
6 Customs agents at ports of entry in California to detect or discover narcotics and/or people in vehicles  
7 entering the United States, including any training offered to Border Patrol, INS, or officers of Homeland  
8 Security Department, by the DEA, or other law enforcement agencies or individuals.

9           26. Performance Goals and Policy Awards. Defendant requests disclosure of information  
10 regarding standards used for measuring, compensating or reprimanding the conduct of all law enforcement  
11 officers involved in the case (Customs, Border Patrol, INS, etc.) to the extent such information relates to the  
12 detection of contraband. This request specifically includes information concerning performance goals,  
13 policy awards, and the standards used by Customs for commending, demoting, or promoting agents for their  
14 performance at the port of entry and their success or failure to detect illegal narcotics and/or people in  
15 general.

16           27. TECS Reports. Defendant requests all TECS reports, including reports pertaining to all  
17 vehicle border crossings pertaining to the vehicle used in this case and any vehicles pertaining to Defendant.

18           28. Reports of Scientific Tests or Examinations. Pursuant to FED. R. CRIM. P. 16(a)(1)(D),  
19 the defendant requests the reports of all tests and examinations conducted upon the evidence in this case.  
20 Including, but not limited to, any fingerprint testing done upon any evidence seized in this case, that is within  
21 the possession, custody, or control of the government, the existence of which is known, or by the exercise  
22 of due diligence may become known, to the attorney for the government, and which are material to the  
23 preparation of the defense or are intended for use by the government as evidence in chief at the trial.

24           29. Residual Request. The defense intends by this discovery motion to invoke his rights to  
25 discovery to the fullest extent possible under the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure and the Constitution  
26 and laws of the United States. This request specifically includes all subsections of Rule 16. The defendant  
27  
28

1 requests that the government provide him and his attorney with the above requested material sufficiently in  
2 advance of trial.

3 **III.**

4 **MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE FURTHER MOTIONS**

5 Mr. Lopez-Gonzales and defense counsel have received 77 pages of discovery in this case.  
6 As information surfaces due to the government providing discovery in response to these motions or an order  
7 of this Court, the defense will file further motions. Therefore, defense counsel requests the opportunity to  
8 file further motions.

9 **IV.**

10 **CONCLUSION**

11 For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Lopez-Gonzales respectfully requests that the Court grant the  
12 above motions.

13 Respectfully submitted,

14 /s/ Michelle Betancourt

15 Dated: January 22, 2008

16 **MICHELLE BETANCOURT**  
Federal Defenders of San Diego, Inc.  
Attorneys for Mr. Lopez-Gonzales